

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,673	10/814,673 03/30/2004		Richard B. Irwin	TI-36795 7727	
23494	7590	03/17/2005	EXAMINER		
TEXAS IN	STRUMI	ENTS INCORPOR	DICKEY, THOMAS L		
P O BOX 6:	55474, M/S	S 3999			
DALLAS, 1	TX 75265	5	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2826	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	 1 No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>			
		10/814,673		IRWIN ET AL.	(Qre)			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Thomas L.	Dickey	2826	•			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
Period fo	• •							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a roperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no even reply within the statut od will apply and will tute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) daysexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	June 2004						
2a)□								
3)	·=							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	on.	,					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	irement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTC	J-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the production of the product		• • •	<u></u>	Stage			
	application from the International Bure	=						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certifi	ed copies not receive	ed.				
A44	w-)							
Attachmen	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date	· · /	5)	atent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/814,673 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 21-30 and 32-34, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 379.
 - Claims 1-20 and 31, drawn to a Schottky diode, classified in class 257, subclass
 478.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group II product invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group I process invention, because the product of the Group II invention could be made by a materially different process from that of the Group I invention. For example, a process that preserves un-reacted metal in place and does not remove it, a process materially different from the processes of claims 21,25,29, and 32, could make the product of claims 1,7,11,17, and 31.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,673 Page 3

Art Unit: 2826

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. In the event invention II is elected, applicant is further advised that this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Schottky diode: a first embodiment, shown in figures 1A-1B, a second embodiment, shown in figure 7, and a third embodiment, shown in figure 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,673

Art Unit: 2826

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2826

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas L Dickey whose telephone number is 571-272-1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas L. Dickey Patent Examiner Art Unit 2826 03/05